- 3. By letter dated April 20, 2007, Visa terminated the contract it had with Defendant Maritz Inc. ("Maritz"), explicitly reserving all of its rights. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 4. In a letter dated May 7, 2007, Maritz responded, claiming that it was owed more than \$5 million by Visa, and stating that Maritz was reserving its rights as Visa had. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 5. In a letter dated June 5, 2007, Visa responded stating that it anticipated providing additional information to Maritz as to the nature and amount of Visa's claims at the appropriate time, and suggesting that the parties discuss "a process for resolving our" claims. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached as Exhibit D.
- 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of a July 2, 2007 letter from Elizabeth L. Buse, Executive Vice President of Product Development and Management for Visa, to Kevin Taylor, President of Maritz Loyalty Marketing.
- 7. In early July, I received a call from Steve Gallant, VP and Associate General Counsel of Maritz. We discussed our clients' shared goal of an efficient resolution of their respective claims and agreed to a three step (negotiation, mediation and arbitration) dispute resolution process set forth in the attached Letter Agreement. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached as Exhibit F. Mr. Gallant suggested the 30-60-90 day time increments for the three stages as an efficient way to structure a tiered ADR procedure.
- 8. On July 10, Mr. Gallant signed the Letter Agreement, transmitting it to me via the following email:

Rod - attached is an executed agreement outlining the procedures for resolving any differences that may exist between Visa and Maritz. It is my understanding that the first direct negotiation meeting will take place on or about July 24, 2007 and there will then be 30 days to reach a resolution. In the event we are unable to reach agreement, we will then escalate to mediation and arbitration as set forth in the letter. Please call me should you have any questions.

5

8

12

16

15

18

19

17

2021

23

22

25

26

27

24

28

- See Gallant Email dated July 10, 2007 (emphasis added). A true and correct copy of this email is attached as Exhibit G.
- 9. Mr. Gallant called me on or about July 23. He asked whether Visa was making a claim for payment for Maritz breaches of the underlying contract and, if so, how much it was. I told him that Visa's claim was considerable, in the range of tens of millions of dollars. I read the language of the agreement to Mr. Gallant stating that it would resolve both parties' "respective claims for damages." See Exhibit F, Letter Agreement (emphasis added). Mr. Gallant said he was surprised and upset by the magnitude of Visa's claim, but that nothing I had said in our conversations had been inaccurate and he was not accusing me of trying to deceive him. He said that Maritz would engage outside counsel, and that Maritz might or might not agree to proceed with arbitration.
- 10. Attached hereto as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of a July 23, 2007 email from Steve Gallant to Roderick M. Thompson.
- 11. Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of an August 8, 2007 email from Steve Gallant to Roderick M. Thompson.
- 12. Attached hereto as Exhibit J is a true and correct copy of an email string dated August 22, 2007 from Steve Gallant to Roderick M. Thompson
- 13. On November 2, 2007, Visa filed a demand for arbitration with the American Arbitration Association ("AAA") in San Francisco, which I served on Charles Weiss, counsel for Maritz, the same day. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached hereto as Exhibit K.
- 14. Attached hereto as Exhibit L is a true and correct copy of a November 16, 2007 letter from Mr. Weiss to the AAA.
- 15. Attached hereto as Exhibit M is a true and correct copy of the November 26, 2007 letter from Maritz to the AAA.
- 16. The AAA rejected Maritz's jurisdictional challenge in a letter dated November 30, 2007. In that same letter, the AAA directed the arbitration to proceed and provided both parties with a list of potential arbitrators. A true and correct copy of the AAA letter is attached hereto as Exhibit N.

Document 27

Filed 01/04/2008

Page 4 of 4

Case 3:07-cv-05585-JSW